Original Research Article

KiwiSaver: Navigating policy ideas and power dynamics in New Zealand's pension system

Zenan Li

Abstract: This article examines the development, implementation, and political strategy behind KiwiSaver, New Zealand's voluntary retirement savings program introduced in the early 2000s. Unlike previous pension models, KiwiSaver used a quasi-compulsory structure, balancing voluntary participation with incentives to encourage broad enrollment. This study analyzes the policy ideas, ideological shifts, and power dynamics involved, with a particular focus on Michael Cullen's use of strategic ambiguity in navigating the complexities of New Zealand's Mixed Member Proportional (MMP) parliamentary environment. By examining the interactions between the Labour government, opposition parties, unions, employer organizations, and the financial sector, this paper sheds light on how KiwiSaver reshaped New Zealand's pension policy landscape, creating a model that integrates public and private interests through ideological flexibility and pragmatic compromise.

Keywords: New Zealand Pension System; Public-private pension mix; Pension policy reform; Voluntary savings scheme

1. Introduction

KiwiSaver was introduced as part of a broader set of reforms under the fifth Labour government, led by Prime Minister Helen Clark and Finance Minister Michael Cullen. The program marked a significant departure from New Zealand's previous pension models, which focused predominantly on public provisions. KiwiSaver bridged the gap between public and private retirement savings approaches, establishing a voluntary, employment-linked system that included subtle pressures toward participation, creating a quasi-compulsory environment without mandating contributions.

The introduction of KiwiSaver reflects both path-dependent policy evolution and a response to new socio-economic realities in New Zealand. Pension privatization efforts in the 1980s and 1990s faced considerable public resistance due to concerns over compulsion, ideological divides, and skepticism regarding the privatization of retirement security. As a result, when Cullen and the Labour government introduced KiwiSaver, they did so with a keen awareness of these historical challenges and with the goal of promoting savings in a way that avoided the pitfalls of compulsion. This paper examines how KiwiSaver was crafted as a solution to the "undersaving problem" while balancing individual choice and public welfare, aiming to foster a new savings culture among New Zealanders within a complex political landscape.

2. Development of KiwiSaver ideas and ideological shifts

The policy ideas behind KiwiSaver were shaped by both New Zealand's unique pension history and broader ideological shifts within the Labour Party. Previous pension reforms had focused on providing a public safety net, with New Zealand Superannuation offering a universal, non-means-tested benefit. However, increasing fiscal pressure and demographic changes prompted policymakers to seek additional retirement savings solutions, leading to the creation of KiwiSaver as a supplementary scheme.

The ideological shift within the Labour Party during this period reflected a move towards a "third way" approach, combining elements of social welfare with market-friendly policies. Influenced by similar reforms under Tony Blair in the UK and Bill Clinton in the US, the Labour government aimed to promote individual responsibility within a framework of state support. This approach sought to provide opportunities for individuals to save for retirement without imposing mandatory participation, aligning with Labour's broader goal of social inclusion while respecting personal choice. The ideological flexibility inherent in KiwiSaver allowed it to gain acceptance across a wide political spectrum, appealing to both social democratic values of collective welfare and conservative ideals of self-sufficiency.

KiwiSaver's design as a voluntary program with incentives for participation reflects this ideological compromise. Rather than enforcing a compulsory system, which might have been politically divisive, Cullen's approach was to create an environment where saving for retirement was encouraged but not mandated. The result was a scheme that resonated with New Zealanders' values of autonomy and financial independence, fostering a new culture of savings while avoiding the controversy of mandatory contributions.

3. Political strategy and cross-party support

The successful implementation of KiwiSaver required adept political maneuvering by Michael Cullen and the Labour government, as they navigated the challenges of New Zealand's MMP electoral system, which often requires coalition-building and compromise to pass legislation. Initially, KiwiSaver faced opposition from the National Party, which questioned the scheme's cost and its impact on individual autonomy. However, Cullen's skillful engagement with various stakeholders ultimately helped to build a cross-party consensus, securing support for the program even among those who were initially skeptical.

One of Cullen's primary strategies was to frame KiwiSaver as a "compelling but voluntary" scheme that appealed to New Zealanders' desire for financial security without imposing compulsory measures. By emphasizing the benefits of saving for retirement and providing government contributions, Cullen effectively incentivized participation without coercion. This approach helped to build bipartisan support, as it aligned with National's conservative values of promoting personal responsibility while also addressing Labour's social goals of improving financial security for all New Zealanders.

Additionally, Cullen engaged with private sector stakeholders, including employer organizations and financial institutions, to ensure their support for the scheme. By addressing concerns about administrative and financial burdens, and incorporating tax incentives to mitigate these concerns, Cullen was able to secure backing from the business community. This engagement helped to position KiwiSaver not only as a retirement savings program but as a valuable benefit for employees, aligning the scheme with both employer interests and employee welfare.

4. Compulsory vs. voluntary debate: Insights from the todd task force

The debate over compulsory versus voluntary retirement savings has been a recurring theme in New Zealand's pension history. The Todd Task Force, established in the early 1990s, played a key role in shaping public attitudes toward pension policy by promoting a cautious approach to compulsion. Jeff Todd, who chaired the task force, noted that New Zealand's preference for voluntary schemes reflected the country's cultural values of autonomy and skepticism toward government-mandated savings. The Task Force's recommendation for a voluntary framework rather than a compulsory one laid the groundwork for KiwiSaver's design as a voluntary system, which balanced individual freedom with the state's goal of increasing national savings.

The Todd Task Force highlighted the importance of encouraging a culture of saving without resorting to coercion. Public attitudes in New Zealand have traditionally favored investment in tangible assets, like housing, over pension savings, and there was considerable resistance to the idea of a compulsory scheme. Cullen's decision to structure KiwiSaver as a voluntary program with automatic enrollment can be seen as a response to these cultural preferences, allowing the scheme to appeal to a wide range of New Zealanders who might have resisted a compulsory approach.

5. Cullen's soft strategy against compulsion

Michael Cullen's strategy for KiwiSaver was to create a "compelling but not compulsory" scheme that incentivized voluntary enrollment through government contributions and employer matches. By framing KiwiSaver as a response to the national undersaving problem, Cullen avoided the divisive issue of compulsion while still promoting higher savings rates. This approach reflects Cullen's political pragmatism and his understanding of the New Zealand public's aversion to coercive policies.

Cullen's strategy was met with a range of reactions. While many welcomed the scheme as a necessary step to improve retirement security, others questioned whether KiwiSaver would have a significant impact on the national savings rate. Critics argued that some participants may have joined KiwiSaver simply to take advantage of initial government incentives rather than as a long-term savings strategy. Nevertheless, the automatic enrollment feature, which requires employees to opt out if they do not wish to participate, has been highly effective in boosting participation rates, creating a "nudge" effect that aligns with behavioral economics principles.

The quasi-compulsory nature of KiwiSaver, where individuals are automatically enrolled but retain the choice to opt out, represents a compromise between promoting savings and respecting personal autonomy. This approach aligns with Cullen's goal of fostering a culture of saving while avoiding the backlash that a compulsory scheme might have provoked. Figures like Craig Foss have highlighted this quasi-compulsory aspect, noting that automatic enrollment encourages broad participation and reflects a subtle shift toward a more universal approach to retirement savings.

6. Path dependency in taxation and funding frameworks

KiwiSaver's funding and taxation frameworks were influenced by the path-dependent nature of New Zealand's tax policy, which limited the ability to introduce a fully tax-exempt (EET) model seen in other countries. Instead, New Zealand has traditionally followed a TTE (Taxed-Taxed-Exempt) approach, where contributions are made from after-tax income, investment earnings are taxed, and withdrawals are tax-free. This framework posed challenges for those who advocated for tax incentives to encourage retirement savings, as New Zealand's political landscape was not conducive to radical shifts in tax policy.

Figures such as Don Brash and Roger Douglas had previously advocated for tax policies that would incentivize private savings. However, these proposals faced political resistance due to the fiscal cost and concerns about equity. Cullen's decision to work within the TTE framework reflects a pragmatic approach to pension reform, acknowledging that significant changes to New Zealand's tax policy were unlikely to gain widespread support. Instead, Cullen designed KiwiSaver to operate within the constraints of the existing tax system, emphasizing neutrality and equity while still encouraging voluntary participation in the scheme.

7. The cullen fund as institutional preparation for kiwisaver

The Cullen Fund, or the New Zealand Superannuation Fund, was introduced in 2001 to address the anticipated fiscal challenges of an aging population. Established as a government-controlled investment fund, the Cullen Fund aimed to pre-accumulate funds to support future pension payments, ensuring the sustainability of New Zealand Superannuation. Cullen viewed the fund as a long-term strategy to alleviate future budget pressures, particularly as the ratio of working-age individuals to retirees was expected to decline over time.

Critics, including Michael Littlewood, viewed the Cullen Fund as ideologically motivated, arguing that it reflected Cullen's belief in government-led solutions rather than market-based approaches. Others, like Roger Douglas, noted that the Cullen Fund represented a departure from earlier Labour Party policies, which had previously opposed centralized pension funds. However, the Cullen Fund's success

in building public confidence in New Zealand's retirement system laid a crucial foundation for KiwiSaver. By demonstrating the government's commitment to long-term fiscal planning, the Cullen Fund helped foster a culture of saving and investment, indirectly preparing the public for the introduction of KiwiSaver.

8. Power dynamics and stakeholder involvement in KiwiSaver reforms

The development of KiwiSaver involved a complex interplay of power dynamics among various stakeholders, including political institutions, trade unions, employer organizations, and the financial sector. Trade unions, particularly the PSA, played a significant role in shaping the scheme, advocating for policies that aligned with worker interests while collaborating with the Labour government. The PSA's support for KiwiSaver was instrumental in securing union backing for the scheme, which was seen as a valuable benefit for employees.

Employer organizations, such as the Employers and Manufacturers Association (EMA), were initially cautious about the financial implications of KiwiSaver but eventually supported the scheme as a tool for attracting and retaining employees. Paul Jarvie, an EMA policy expert, emphasized that KiwiSaver was not traditionally viewed as a core business function but acknowledged that it had become an important part of employee benefits packages. The EMA's involvement highlights the role of employer organizations in supporting the rollout of KiwiSaver, despite concerns about the financial burden on small businesses.

The financial sector also played a critical role, as KiwiSaver created new business opportunities for fund managers and financial institutions. While some critics argued that the industry was primarily profit-driven, the inclusion of private fund managers brought expertise and resources to KiwiSaver's implementation. The financial industry's support helped ensure the scheme's success, though figures like Michael Chamberlain criticized the sector for prioritizing profits over flexibility and transparency.

9. Conclusion: The art of ambiguity and the future of pension policy

KiwiSaver's success lies in its ability to balance diverse interests within a stable framework, using voluntary participation and soft incentives to foster a culture of retirement savings. Cullen's artful navigation of New Zealand's MMP environment, marked by strategic ambiguity and coalition-building, allowed him to create a scheme that appealed to both public and political interests. By avoiding the divisive issue of compulsion, Cullen crafted KiwiSaver as a program that promotes savings while respecting individual choice, demonstrating how pension reform can succeed through careful alignment of policy ideas, ideological shifts, and stakeholder engagement.

The "art of ambiguity" in KiwiSaver's design illustrates the importance of adaptability in modern policymaking. Cullen's approach, which combined voluntary participation with elements that subtly encouraged enrollment, reflects a nuanced understanding of New Zealand's political and cultural landscape. KiwiSaver's evolution from a path-dependent model to one that embraces flexibility and stakeholder inclusion highlights the potential for pension reform to meet contemporary challenges through compromise, collaboration, and strategic foresight.

As New Zealand continues to address the fiscal pressures of an aging population, KiwiSaver provides a valuable model for future pension policy. The scheme's ability to integrate public and private interests, while maintaining a broad base of political support, underscores the importance of adaptability, stakeholder collaboration, and the "art of ambiguity" in navigating the complexities of pension reform.

About the author

Li Zenan (08/1989), Female, PhD, Mongol ethnicity, New Zealand Auckland 2103, University of Auckland, Social Policy/Superannuation/Retirement Income.

References

[1] McClure, M. (1998). A civilized community: a history of social security in New Zealand 1898- 1998. Auckland University Press in association with the Historical Branch, Department of Internal Affairs.

[2] Ministry of Business, Innovation & Employment. (2022). Changes to Kiwi Saver Policy since 2019 : background paper prepared by the Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment for the Retirement Commissioner's 2022 Review of Retirement Income Policy.

[3] Ministry of Social Development. (2003). Periodic Report Group 2003: Background paper: A description of New Zealand's current retirement income framework. https://www.treasury.govt.nz/sites/default/files/2007-11/prg-msd-dnzcrif.pdf

[4] OECD (2015), Pensions at a Glance 2015: OECD and G20 indicators, OECD Publishing, Paris, https://doi.org/10.1787/pension_glance-2015-en.

[5] Pedersen, H. H. (2013). Is measuring interest group influence a mission impossible? The case of interest group influence in the Danish parliament. Interest Groups & Advocacy, 2(1), 27– 47.

[6] Roth, B. (1973). Trade unions in New Zealand past and present. Reed Education.

[7] Sabatier, P. A. (1988). An advocacy coalition framework of policy change and the role of policy-oriented learning therein. Policy Sciences, 21(2–3), 129–168.