Original Research Article

A retrospective review of Japan's transformative shifts in international trade and macroeconomic policies over recent decades

Huiyu Chen[*Corresponding Author]

International College of Digital Innovation, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai, 50200, Thailand(Zip Code)

Abstract: This study provided a comprehensive review of the pivotal transformations in Japan's international trade and macroeconomic policies from the 1950s to the present, tracing Japan's economic journey from post-war reconstruction and the rapid industrialisation of the economic miracle era, through the structural shifts prompted by the 1970s energy crisis, the asset bubble and subsequent economic downturn in the 1980s and 1990s and into the era of global integration in the 2000s. The review also examined the policy initiatives under Abenomics, assessing how Japan navigated challenges such as currency appreciation, trade imbalances, financial crises and demographic changes. Through analysing these phases, the study highlighted Japan's remarkable ability to adapt its economic strategies to shifting global and domestic landscapes. The findings highlighted Japan's evolving role in global trade, the significance of policy innovation in maintaining economic resilience and provided insights into effective policy responses within dynamic international economic environments. This research offered a detailed understanding of how Japan's policy evolutions influenced its economic trajectory and contributed to its resilience. Future studies could build on these insights to explore the long-term impacts of contemporary policies and compare Japan's adaptive strategies to those of other advanced economies facing similar challenges.

Keywords: Japan economic policy; International trade; Macroeconomic transformation; Post-war reconstruction; Economic miracle; Asset bubble; Lost Decade; Financial reform; Global integration; Trade agreements; Abenomics; Asia-Pacific economy

1. Introduction

Japan's economic and international trade policy landscape has experienced seismic shifts over the past several decades, marked by distinct phases that reflect the country's responses to both domestic needs and global shifts. Emerging from the devastation of World War II, Japan leveraged an export-oriented growth model that spurred rapid industrialisation, famously ushering in the era of the "economic miracle" and establishing Japan as a formidable economic powerhouse in the international market (Beckley et al., 2018). As Japan's economy surged, so too did the complexities of its trade relations, responding to challenges such as oil price shocks, escalating trade imbalances with key partners like the United States and the economic stagnation that defined its "Lost Decade" (Yoshino & Taghizadeh-Hesary, 2017). Empirical studies widely acknowledge that recent decades have only intensified these pressures, as Japan faces rising competition within Asia, accelerates its digital transformation and pivots towards sustainable development to address both market demand and global climate initiatives (Funabashi & Kusher, 2015; Wakatabe, 2012; Dobson, 2005). Each phase of Japan's policy evolution articulately demonstrates its remarkable ability to recalibrate within a shifting geopolitical and economic context.

This review rigorously examines the pivotal transformations in Japan's international trade and macroeconomic policies, dissecting the strategic adaptations that have reshaped its standing in the global economy. Through analysing the successive stages of its economic recalibration, this review offers an in-depth view of Japan's proactive responses to economic headwinds and the resulting implications for trade flows, production networks and investment landscapes across the Asia-Pacific. This steady trajectory from protectionist measures to free trade advocacy highlights its agile policy shifts in the face of global supply chain disruptions and sustainability imperatives (Schaede & Shimizu, 2022). Ultimately, this analysis sheds light on the chronological evolution of Japan's international trade and economic strategy, drawing broader insights for nations contending with the volatile currents of today's interconnected, rapidly evolving global economy, where resilience, innovation and adaptability are increasing paramount in global economic dynamics.

2. Post-war reconstruction and the economic miracle (1950s-1970s)

The post-World War II era marked a seminal phase in Japan's economic trajectory, catalysed by an intensive state-directed reconstruction strategy and a focus on export-oriented industrialisation that would position Japan as a formidable global economic force (Iyoda, 2010). In 1949, under the oversight of U.S. occupation forces led by General Douglas MacArthur, Japan implemented the "Dodge Plan", a rigorous fiscal stabilisation program aimed at taming hyperinflation, restoring fiscal balance and securing a fixed yen-dollar exchange rate at 360 yen (Sugita & Sugita, 2019). This plan was instrumental in anchoring the macroeconomic environment, creating stability for growth. That same year, the establishment of the Ministry of International Trade and Industry (MITI) marked a pivotal moment in Japan's economic reconfiguration. MITI was entrusted with directing industrial policy, orchestrating resources and prioritising strategic sectors, thus laying the institutional framework for Japan's economic renaissance (Masaru, 2003).

Throughout the 1950s, Japan's economy underwent a structural transformation as MITI channelled resources into sectors primed for high growth, particularly automotive and electronics manufacturing according to Nezu (2007). Under MITI's aegis, Japanese firms received targeted subsidies, protective policies and concessional loans, which facilitated capital-intensive investments and technological modernisation. Japan's "quality-first" ethos, underpinned by the adoption of American statistical quality control techniques, rapidly became integral to its production philosophy, elevating the competitive advantage of Japanese manufacturers and establishing the cornerstone of the Japan's renowned total quality control methods (Dale & Tidd, 1991). The nation's accession to the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT) in 1955 unlocked critical access to global markets, allowing Japanese firms to leverage economies of scale while pursuing export-led growth (Urata, 2015). Concurrently, import restrictions shielded nascent industries, enabling domestic firms to bolster their capabilities and nurture a comparative advantage in cost-efficient, high-quality goods (Urata, 2011).

By the early 1970s, Japan's economy had achieved unprecedented dynamism, with average annual GDP growth surpassing 9.6% between 1950 and 1967 (Ching, 1971). This robust expansion not only transformed Japan into the world's second-largest economy but also reinforced its prowess in sectors like automotive and consumer electronics, cementing Japan's reputation for industrial quality and efficiency. Trade relations with the United States and Europe flourished, reinforcing Japan's status as a dominant player in the global economic order by the 1970s (Kojima, 1973). As suggested by Prabhakar (2024), the strategic policy interventions and export-centric development model of this era indelibly shaped Japan's competitive posture, establishing the foundational pillars of its economic resilience and its role as an innovation powerhouse.

3. Energy crisis and structural shifts (1970s-1980s)

The 1970s marked a pivotal phase in Japan's economic evolution, as the country confronted the vulnerability of its rapid industrialisation amidst acute energy dependence (Fajnzylber, 1990; Yanagihara, 1994). Japan's reliance on imported oil became a liability with the 1973 OPEC oil embargo, which led to a fourfold increase in oil prices, severely straining Japan's manufacturing sector and export-driven economy (Kuroda, 1986). In response, Japan implemented an ambitious agenda for energy diversification, reducing its oil dependency through large-scale investments in nuclear power and energy-efficient technologies (Khalsa, 2023). By the late 1970s, nuclear power accounted for a growing share of Japan's energy consumption and the government incentivised private-sector R&D in alternative energy sources, catalysing Japan's commitment to energy efficiency and establishing a precedent for technological innovation aimed at long-term sustainability and energy security (Zulkifli & Haqeem, 2022).

From the late 1970s through the early 1980s, Japan's structural economic transition accelerated, marked by a strategic shift from heavy, resource-intensive industries to high-tech, knowledge-based sectors like electronics, semiconductors and robotics (Takahashi, 2001). Under the direction of the Ministry of International Trade and Industry (MITI), the government launched policy initiatives, such as the Vision for Industrial Policy in the 1980s and the 1979 economic policy blueprint that prioritised high-tech industries over traditional manufacturing (Okazaki, 2017). MITI championed collaborative R&D efforts between the public and private sectors, particularly in the microelectronics field, which became a bedrock of Japan's technological prowess (Callon, 1995). Japanese firms heavily invested in automation and advanced manufacturing technologies, not only reducing the energy intensity of production but also significantly enhancing productivity and product quality (Irino, 1992). This state-led, technology-driven model helped Japan mitigate the adverse effects of high energy costs, positioning the nation as a global leader in high-value industries.

By the mid-1980s, Japan's strategic reorientation had substantially fortified its economy, enhancing its resilience to external shocks and establishing a sustainable growth model (Posen, 1998). Although GDP growth moderated from the earlier "miracle" rates as suggested by Takada (1999), Japan's high-tech transformation yielded sustained competitive advantages in global markets. The successful pivot to technology-intensive industries highlighted Japan's adaptability and foresight, allowing it to dominate fields such as consumer electronics, robotics and semiconductor manufacturing (Windrum & Tomlinson, 1999). As Japan reduced its reliance on imported oil, it fortified its energy security and paved the way for a lasting presence in knowledge-intensive sectors (Lakshmanan et al., 1993). This era's decisive shift was instrumental in securing Japan's status as a formidable economic power, equipped to navigate the technological and economic demands of the emerging global landscape.

4. Asset bubble and economic overexpansion (1980s-1990s)

The 1980s marked an inflection point in Japan's economic trajectory as financial deregulation, aggressive monetary policies and yen appreciation precipitated an unprecedented asset bubble. The 1985 Plaza Accord, an agreement between Japan, the U.S. and European nations aimed at depreciating the U.S. dollar, initiated a rapid appreciation of the yen, which nearly doubled in value against the dollar within two years (Hayhurst, 2004). This currency realignment challenged Japan's export-driven economy, leading Japanese firms to focus investment domestically while accelerating overseas acquisitions (Ito, 2015). In response, Japan's monetary authorities implemented low-interest rate policies to counteract the yen's impact. However, this credit-fuelled environment spurred speculative investment in real estate and equity markets, inflating asset values to unsustainable levels and creating a highly leveraged financial ecosystem (Mihut, 2014).

During the late 1980s, Japan's asset markets experienced extraordinary growth, with real estate and stock valuations reaching unprecedented heights, as Tokyo's land prices alone surged to valuations that surpassed entire U.S. states, epitomising the bubble's extremity (Shiratsuka, 2005). Flush with inflated assets, Japanese corporations undertook aggressive expansion, often acquiring high-profile assets abroad, particularly in the U.S. and Europe. Financial institutions, awash in liquidity, actively contributed to the speculative fervour by extending credit backed by overvalued assets, which fuelled further leverage across sectors (French & Poterba, 1991). In 1990, the Bank of Japan, alarmed by escalating market volatility, shifted policy to curb speculation, raising interest rates in a decisive bid to stabilise the economy (Nakaso, 2011). This abrupt policy shift precipitated a sharp contraction in asset prices, land values dropped by approximately 80% from their peak and the stock market plummeted, erasing trillions of dollars in paper wealth and leaving Japan's financial sector severely destabilised (Kimura et al., 2003).

The aftermath of the bubble's collapse ushered Japan into the prolonged recession of the 1990s, characterised by economic stagnation, deflation and systemic financial distress (Yoshino & Taghizadesh-Hesary, 2015). According to Fukao (2003), structural flaws within Japan's financial sector were exposed as banks became burdened with vast amounts of non-performing loans and corporations contended with excessive debt, stymieing economic recovery. Despite extensive government stimulus and monetary easing, the economy struggled to regain momentum, facing prolonged deflationary pressures and sluggish growth (Hamada & Okada, 2009). This period highlighted the inherent risks of asset-based economic growth and highlighted the complexities involved in managing an economy deeply intertwined with speculative finance. Japan's experience during this era serves as a salient case study in financial regulatory history, offering lessons in the perils of speculative excess and the critical need for vigilance in monetary policy to mitigate the impact of asset inflation on long-term economic stability (Taylor, 2006).

5. The lost decade and financial reform (1990s-2000s)

The collapse of Japan's asset bubble in the early 1990s precipitated a prolonged economic malaise known as the "Lost Decade," during which the nation grappled with severe financial stagnation and deflationary pressures (Makin, 2008). As real estate and stock values plummeted from 1990 onward, Japan's financial sector was saddled with extensive non-performing loans, eroding the stability of the banking system (Harari, 2013). With overvalued assets as collateral, banks faced an acute capital inadequacy, prompting a contraction in lending that stifled business investment and consumer spending. Despite multiple fiscal stimulus packages during the 1990s, Japan's public debt soared while the economy remained in a deflationary trap, unable to secure sustainable growth (Nanto, 2009). Traditional monetary easing failed to rekindle demand and stagnation became deeply entrenched, highlighting the systemic challenges faced by an economy overly dependent on asset-based expansion (Cargill, 2004).

Recognising the inadequacies of conventional policy responses, the Japanese government initiated comprehensive financial reforms in the mid-1990s to tackle these structural impediments. Prime Minister Ryutaro Hashimoto launched the "Japanese Big Bang" reforms in 1996, a sweeping program designed to liberalise Japan's financial markets, elevate transparency and attract foreign investment (Montgomery & Takahashi, 2011). These reforms included deregulating capital markets, enhancing corporate governance standards and implementing stricter accounting and disclosure rules to modernise Japan's financial infrastructure (McCarty, 1998). In 1998, the Financial Reconstruction Commission was established to manage the banking sector's restructuring, overseeing the bailout of insolvent banks and the recapitalisation of financially viable institutions (Fujii & Kawai, 2012).

Through these initiatives, the government aimed to instil market discipline and rehabilitate a severely distressed banking sector, paving the way for a more competitive and resilient financial environment (Iimura, 1999).

Despite these structural reforms, Japan's recovery remained sluggish with the economic repercussions of the "Lost Decade" reverberating well into the 2000s. Persistent deflation, minimal GDP growth and risk-averse corporate behaviour highlighted the difficulty of reviving an economy still burdened by overcapacity and entrenched debt (Nakaso, 2011). While the Big Bang reforms improved financial transparency and governance, lending practices remained cautious and corporate investment stagnated in the wake of the crisis (Hayes, 2011). Japan's experience during the "Lost Decade" provides a cautionary exemplar in financial and regulatory policy, highlighting the importance of prompt intervention to address non-performing assets and restore confidence in the financial system. According to Gottret et al., (2008), the example of Japan's economic environment towards the end of the 20th century has informed global economic policy, particularly regarding the need for swift and decisive action in addressing structural imbalances to prevent protracted economic stagnation in advanced economies.

6. Global integration and trade agreements (2000s-2010s)

In the 2000s, Japan embarked on an era of strategic economic integration, prioritising regional and bilateral trade agreements to enhance its competitiveness in an increasingly globalised market (Jones & Yoon, 2006). Seeking to overcome the stagnation of the "Lost Decade", Japan turned to trade liberalisation as a means of revitalising its economy and broadening its commercial reach. A pivotal development occurred in 2002 with the Japan-Singapore Economic Partnership Agreement (JSEPA), Japan's first bilateral trade agreement, which set a precedent for reducing tariffs, streamlining customs and facilitating investment flows (Terada, 2006). This approach was replicated through Economic Partnership Agreements (EPAs) with key ASEAN economies, including Malaysia (2005), Thailand (2007) and Indonesia (2008), strengthening Japan's economic ties in Southeast Asia and advancing regional economic cohesion (Corning, 2009).

During this period, Japan also pursued ambitious multilateral frameworks, most notably through its involvement in the Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP). Initially cautious, Japan formally joined TPP negotiations in 2013 under Prime Minister Shinzo Abe, seeing the agreement as both a catalyst for economic reform and a geopolitical counterbalance to China's growing influence in Asia (Auslin, 2012). The TPP was designed to go beyond traditional free trade agreements by incorporating comprehensive standards on intellectual property, labour and environmental protections, aligning with Japan's developed economy (Cooper & Manyin, 2013). Japan's parallel engagement in the Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership (RCEP) with ASEAN, China and South Korea further demonstrated its commitment to shaping the regional trade architecture (Wardani & Cooray, 2019). Although the U.S. withdrew from the TPP in 2017, Japan spearheaded efforts to salvage the agreement, resulting in the Comprehensive and Progressive Agreement for Trans-Pacific Partnership (CPTPP), which took effect in 2018 and maintained the TPP's high standards for economic cooperation (Terada, 2023).

The impact of these trade agreements was profound, expanding Japan's market access and reinforcing its status as a pivotal economic actor in the Asia-Pacific. Through EPAs and multilateral commitments, Japan diversified its trade partnerships, securing robust export avenues for high-value industries such as technology, automotive and consumer electronics (Fukui, 2019). These agreements also enhanced Japan's ability to influence regional trade norms, strengthening its soft power and diplomatic clout. By reducing tariff and regulatory barriers, Japan's integration initiatives mitigated the risks of over-reliance on any single market and fortified its position in an increasingly competitive global economy (Suzuki, 2020). This era of proactive trade diplomacy underscored Japan's strategic pivot toward multilateralism, establishing a resilient economic framework that would support sustained growth and regional leadership in the years to follow.

7. Abenomics and the pursuit of sustainable growth (2010s-present)

The 2010s marked a transformative phase for Japan's economic policy as the nation grappled with stagnant growth, a shrinking workforce and deflationary pressures. In 2012, Prime Minister Shinzo Abe launched "Abenomics," a bold economic revitalisation strategy comprising three "arrows": aggressive monetary easing, fiscal stimulus and structural reform aimed at combatting entrenched deflation and stagnation by incentivising domestic spending and investment (Solis & Urata, 2018). The Bank of Japan (BOJ), under Governor Haruhiko Kuroda, implemented unprecedented quantitative easing measures, including the purchase of government bonds and exchange-traded funds, expanding Japan's monetary base to spur inflation (Uchida, 2014). The impact of these monetary policies was immediate, as the yen depreciated, boosting export competitiveness and temporarily lifting inflation, although results fell short of the BOJ's 2% inflation target (Hausman & Wieland, 2014).

A central tenet of Abenomics was the emphasis on structural reform, aimed at addressing demographic and labour market challenges. Japan's aging population and low birth rates posed serious risks to long-term economic stability, prompting reforms to increase workforce participation, particularly among women and older workers (Kawaguchi et al., 2021). The government introduced measures to improve work-life balance, enhance childcare support and implement labour market reforms to attract foreign talent (Shibata, 2020). Additionally, Japan pursued innovation-driven growth, supporting sectors such as robotics, artificial intelligence and renewable energy as part of its "Society 5.0" vision, which sought to create a technologically advanced, sustainable society (Fukuyama, 2018). Structural reforms also extended to corporate governance, with new codes introduced to enhance transparency, shareholder rights and corporate profitability, aiming to make Japanese firms more attractive to global investors (Carraz & Harrayama, 2018).

Japan's pursuit of sustainable growth under Abenomics also included a strategic focus on trade liberalisation to reinforce economic resilience (Szekeres, 2015). Japan played a key role in salvaging the Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP) after the U.S. exit, culminating in the Comprehensive and Progressive Agreement for Trans-Pacific Partnership (CPTPP) in 2018, which aligned with Japan's commitment to high standards in trade and investment (Terada, 2023). The 2019 EU-Japan Economic Partnership Agreement (EPA) further underscored Japan's trade diversification, creating one of the world's largest open economic areas (Felbermayr et al., 2019). These agreements expanded market access for Japanese products, enhancing Japan's competitive position and establishing it as a leading advocate for free trade in the Asia-Pacific and beyond. The Abenomics era thus represents Japan's strategic response to domestic challenges and global economic shifts, underscoring a commitment to sustainable growth and regional leadership in a complex and evolving economic landscape.

8. Conclusion

The evolution of Japan's international trade and macroeconomic policies from the post-war period to the present has demonstrated an extraordinary capacity for adaptation and resilience. This review has highlighted key phases in Japan's economic trajectory including the rapid industrialisation and export-led growth of the post-war era, the strategic shift towards high-tech industries following the energy crises of the 1970s, the challenges of asset bubble growth in the 1980s leading to the "Lost Decade" of the 1990s and the renewed emphasis on global integration and trade agreements in the 2000s. Most recently, the Abenomics strategy and focus on sustainable growth have shown Japan's continued ability to recalibrate policies in response to demographic challenges and the demands of a rapidly changing global economic landscape. Through tracing these pivotal transformations, this research aimed to provide an in-depth analysis of how Japan has navigated economic headwinds and leveraged strategic policy shifts. The findings underline the importance of continual adaptation in economic policy, with implications extending well beyond Japan. As nations globally face uncertainties such as shifting trade dynamics, technological advancements and sustainability imperatives, Japan's experience offers valuable lessons on resilience, innovation and the capacity to adjust policies proactively. Future studies could explore the long-term impacts of recent policies such as those under Abenomics, on Japan's economic competitiveness and societal well-being. Moreover, comparing Japan's adaptive strategies with those of other countries could yield further insights into effective policy responses in an increasingly interconnected and dynamic global economy.

Corresponding author

Huiyu Chen, huiyu_chen@cmu.ac.th

References

[1] Auslin, M. (2012). Getting it right: Japan and Trans-Pacific partnership. Asia-Pacific Review, 19(1), 21-36.

[2] Beckley, M., Horiuchi, Y., & Miller, J. M. (2018). America's role in the making of Japan's economic miracle. Journal of East Asian Studies, 18(1), 1-21.

[3] Callon, S. (1995). Divided Sun: MITI and the breakdown of Japanese high-tech industrial policy, 1975-1993. Stanford University Press.

[4] Cargill, T. (2004). Japan's Economic and Financial Stagnation and the Possibility of a Second Lost Decade. European Institute of Japanese Studies.

[5] Carraz, R., & Harayama, Y. (2018). Japan's innovation systems at the crossroads: Society 5.0. Digital Asia, 13(12), 33-45.

[6] Chung, W. K. Y. (1971). A study of economic growth in postwar Japan for the period of 1952-1967: an application of total productivity analysis. New School for Social Research.

[7] Cooper, W. H., & Manyin, M. E. (2013, August). Japan Joins the Trans-Pacific Partnership: What are the Implications?.

[8] Corning, G. P. (2009). Between bilateralism and regionalism in East Asia: the ASEAN–Japan Comprehensive Economic Partnership. The Pacific Review, 22(5), 639-665.

[9] Dale, B. G., & Tidd, J. (1991). Japanese total quality control: a study of best practice. Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part B: Journal of Engineering Manufacture, 205(4), 221-232.

[10] Dobson, H. (2005). Rethinking Japan's "lost decade". Global Society, 19(2), 211-223.

[11] Fajnzylber, F. (1990). The United States and Japan as models of industrialization. Manufacturing Miracles: Paths of Industrialization in Latin America and East Asia, 323-352.

[12] Felbermayr, G., Kimura, F., Okubo, T., & Steininger, M. (2019). Quantifying the EU-Japan economic partnership agreement. Journal of the Japanese and International Economies, 51, 110-128.

[13] French, K. R., & Poterba, J. M. (1991). Were Japanese stock prices too high?. Journal of Financial Economics, 29(2), 337-363.

[14] Fujii, M., & Kawai, M. (2012). Lessons from Japan's banking crisis–1991 to 2005. In Research handbook on international financial regulation. Edward Elgar Publishing.

[15] Fukao, M. (2003). Japan's lost decade and weaknesses in its corporate governance structure. In Japan's Economic Recovery (pp. 289-340). Edward Elgar Publishing.

[16] Fukui, S. (2019). Economic development in developing countries and the future of trade agreements. Japanese Journal of Agricultural Economics, 21, 50-55.

[17] Fukuyama, M. (2018). Society 5.0: Aiming for a new human-centered society. Japan Spotlight, 27(5), 47-50.

[18] Funabashi, Y., & Kushner, B. (Eds.). (2015). Examining Japan's lost decades. London: Routledge.

[19] Gottret, P., Schieber, G., & Waters, H. R. (Eds.). (2008). Good practices in health financing: lessons from reforms in low and middle-income countries. World Bank Publications.

[20] Hamada, K., & Okada, Y. (2009). Monetary and international factors behind Japan's lost decade. Journal of the Japanese and international economies, 23(2), 200-219.

[21] Harari, D. (2013). Japan's Economy: from the 'Lost Decade'to Abenomics. House of Commons Library, Standard Note SN06629. London: Oct, 24.

[22] Hausman, J. K., & Wieland, J. F. (2014). Abenomics: preliminary analysis and outlook. Brookings Papers on Economic Activity, 2014(1), 1-63.

[23] Hayes, D. (2011). Japan's big bang: The deregulation and revitalization of the Japanese economy. Tuttle Publishing.

[24] Hayhurst, M. Y. (2004). The Plaza agreement, official foreign exchange intervention and exchange rate policy in Japan, 1985–2002: An analysis of leaning against the wind. The University of Texas at Dallas.

[25] Iimura, S. (1999). Financial Reconstruction and Normalization Laws.

[26] Irino, R. K. M. (1992). Japan's Economic and Industrial Policy in the 1980's. Keynes and the Economic Policies of the 1980's, 137.

[27] Ito, T. (2015). The Plaza Agreement and Japan: Reflection on the 30th year anniversary. Rice University's Baker Institute for Public Policy Working Paper.

[28] Iyoda, M. (2010). Postwar Japanese economy: lessons of economic growth and the bubble economy. Springer Science & Business Media.

[29] Jones, R. S., & Yoon, T. (2006). Strengthening the Integration of Japan in the World Economy to benefit more fully from Globalisation.

[30] Kawaguchi, D., Kawata, K., & Toriyabe, T. (2021). An assessment of Abenomics from the labor market perspective. Asian Economic Policy Review, 16(2), 247-278.

[31] Khalsa, L. A. (2023). The Implications of Natural Gas and Oil Dependence on Nuclear Proliferation Efforts: A Case Study of the 1970s Energy Crisis and the Beginning of OPEC's Nuclear Ambitions.

[32] Kimura, T., Kobayashi, H., Muranaga, J., & Ugai, H. (2003, September). The effect of the increase in the monetary base on Japan's economy at zero interest rates: an empirical analysis. In Monetary policy in a changing environment, bank for international settlements conference series (Vol. 19, pp. 276-312).

[33] Kojima, K. (1973). Reorganisation of north-south trade: Japan's foreign economic policy for the 1970s. Hitotsubashi Journal of Economics, 13(2), 1-28.

[34] Kuroda, Y. (1986). The oil crisis and Japan's new Middle East policy, 1973. Annals of Japan Association

for Middle East Studies, 1, 150-187.

[35] Lakshmanan, T. R., Han, X., & Liang, Y. (1993). The evolution of knowledge in the labor force during industrial structuring in Japan. The Annals of Regional Science, 27, 41-60.

[36] Makin, J. (2008). Japan's lost decade: Lessons for the United States in 2008. Economic Outlook (March).

[37] Masaru, K. (2003). A changing ministry of international trade and industry. In Japanese Governance (pp. 96-112). Routledge.

[38] McCarty, F. W. (1998). The Japanese Big Bang: Will Deregulation Heal the Japanese Banking Industry. JL & Bus., 5, 59.

[39] Mihut, M. I. (2014). Plazza Acord and the "explosion" of the Japanese FDI. Procedia Economics and Finance, 15, 721-729.

[40] Montgomery, H., & Takahashi, Y. (2011). The Japanese Big Bang: The Effects of'Free, Fair and Global'. The Journal of Social Science, (72), 49-71.

[41] Nakaso, H. (2011). The financial crisis in Japan during the 1990s: how the Bank of Japan responded and the lessons learnt.

[42] Nanto, D. K. (2009). The Global Financial Crisis: Lessons from Japan's Lost Decade of the 1990s. United States: Congress: Congressional Research Service (CRS).

[43] Nezu, R. (2007). Industrial policy in Japan. Journal of Industry, Competition and Trade, 7(3), 229-243.

[44] Okazaki, T. (2017). Industrial Policy in Japan: 70-Year History since World War II. Economy, Culture & History Japan Spotlight Bimonthly.

[45] Posen, A. S. (1998). Restoring Japan's economic growth (Vol. 9809). Peterson Institute.

[46] Prabhakar, A. C. (2024). Colonial Echoes: Unraveling Economic Legacies and Geopolitical Shifts in the South Pacific Islands. African and Asian Studies, 1(aop), 1-29.

[47] Schaede, U., & Shimizu, K. (2022). The digital transformation and Japan's political economy. Cambridge University Press.

[48] Shibata, S. (2020). Re-packaging old policies?'Abenomics' and the lack of an alternative growth model for Japan's political economy. In Japanese Political Economy Revisited (pp. 101-124). Routledge.

[49] Shiratsuka, S. (2005). The asset price bubble in Japan in the 1980s: lessons for financial and macroeconomic stability. BIS papers, 21.

[50] Solís, M., & Urata, S. (2018). Abenomics and Japan's trade policy in a new era. Asian Economic Policy Review, 13(1), 106-123.

[51] Sugita, Y., & Sugita, Y. (2019). The Dodge Line. Japan's Shifting Status in the World and the Development of Japan's Medical Insurance Systems, 217-242.

[52] Suzuki, H. (2020). The new politics of trade: EU-Japan. In The EU and the New Trade Bilateralism (pp. 108-122). Routledge.

[53] Szekeres, V. (2015). Abenomics: a Way to Accelerate the Japanese Economic Growth?.

[54] Takada, M. (1999). Japan's economic miracle: underlying factors and strategies for the growth. Professor Wylie, 18.

[55] Takahashi, T. (2001). The role of knowledge and organisation in the competitiveness of Japanese high-tech industry. International Journal of Technology Management, 22(5-6), 480-502.

[56] Taylor, J. (2006, September). Lessons from the recovery from the 'lost decade'in Japan: The case of the

great intervention and money injection. In ESRI International Conference, Cabinet Office, Government of Japan.

[57] Terada, T. (2006). The making of Asia's first bilateral FTA: origins and regional implications of the Japan-Singapore economic partnership agreement. Asia Pacific School of Economics and Government, The Australian National University.

[58] Terada, T. (2023). Politics on TPP and CPTPP: Turning Japan into one of the world's major free trade powers. Critical Review of the Abe Administration, 114-137.

[59] Uchida 1, M. (2014). Towards the end of deflation in Japan? Monetary policy under Abenomics and the role of the central bank. Revue de l'OFCE, (4), 243-268.

[60] Urata, S. (2011). Japan's New Trade Policy: from GATT and the WTO to FTAs (Doctoral dissertation, Waseda University).

[61] Urata, S. (2015). Postwar Japanese trade policy: A shift from multilateral GATT/WTO to bilateral/ regional FTA regimes. In The Political Economy of Japanese Trade Policy (pp. 41-70). London: Palgrave Macmillan UK.

[62] Wakatabe, M. (2012). Turning Japanese? Lessons from Japan's lost decade to the current crisis.

[63] Wardani, R. Y., & Cooray, N. S. (2019). Saving potential of regional comprehensive economic partnership (RCEP): Implication for China and Japan. Journal of Economic Info, 6(1), 34-42.

[64] Windrum, P., & Tomlinson, M. (1999). Knowledge-intensive services and international competitiveness: a four-country comparison. Technology Analysis & Strategic Management, 11(3), 391-408.

[65] Yanagihara, T. (1994). Japan as a newly-industrializing economy. Journal of International Economic Studies, 6, 1-34.

[66] Yoshino, N., & Taghizadeh-Hesary, F. (2015). Japan's lost decade: Lessons for other economies.

[67] Yoshino, N., & Taghizadeh-Hesary, F. (2017). Japan? s Lost Decade. Springer.

[68] Zulkifli, N., & Haqeem, D. (2022). The opec oil shock crisis (1973): an analysis. Asian Journal of Research in Business and Management, 4(1), 136-148.