Original Research Article

Capital game in the LLM arena: Investor strategies and industry evolution through the case of "Dark side of the moon"

Nan Liang

Communication University of China, Beijing, 100024, China

Abstract: This study examines the intricate interplay between venture capital and strategic investment in China's large language model (LLM) sector, utilizing the high-stakes case of "Dark Side of the Moon" (Yue Zhi An Mian) as a focal point. By analyzing investment strategies, governance frameworks, and post-funding trajectories, the research illuminates how divergent capital priorities influence technological innovation and market competition. The findings underscore the tension between short-term financial objectives and long-term ecosystem development, proposing adaptive governance models to harmonize these competing interests. This work contributes to theoretical discourse on AI entrepreneurship while offering actionable insights for cross-stakeholder collaboration in capital-intensive technology sectors.

Keywords: AI Large Language Models; Venture Capital Dynamics; Strategic Investment; Entrepreneurial Governance; Technological Ecosystems

1. Introduction

The global proliferation of large language models (LLMs) has catalyzed unprecedented capital flows, particularly within China's rapidly evolving AI landscape. Breakthrough innovations such as Baidu's ERNIE and Alibaba's M6 exemplify a sector where technological advancement intersects with complex capital dynamics. The recent investment controversy surrounding "Dark Side of the Moon"—a prominent Chinese LLM startup—provides a paradigmatic case study to dissect the multifaceted relationships between heterogeneous investors, entrepreneurial autonomy, and industry evolution.

1.1. Technological and capital context

The LLM sector is characterized by dual exceptionalism in technological complexity and capital intensity. Modern LLMs like ChatGPT-4 (1.8 trillion parameters) and ERNIE 4.0 (2.6 trillion parameters) demand exascale computing infrastructure (≥10^18 FLOPS), multilingual training corpora exceeding 50TB, and hybrid learning frameworks combining self-supervised pre-training, task-specific fine-tuning, and human-in-the-loop reinforcement learning. Concurrently, capital dynamics exhibit three distinctive patterns: hyper-concentrated funding (78% of 2024's $9.2 billion global LLM investments flowed to the top 20 players), strategic realignment (tech giants allocating 15–20% of R&D budgets to LLM ecosystems), and regulatory-investment interdependencies (China's 2024 Generative AI Governance Guidelines reshaping investor risk assessments).

1.2. Theoretical framework

The analysis integrates three theoretical lenses. Technological lock-in theory (Arthur, 1989) explains path dependencies in LLM architectural choices, while resource dependence theory (Pfeffer & Salancik, 1978) elucidates startups' strategic balancing of venture capital and corporate investors. Ecosystem orchestration theory

(Adner, 2017) provides insights into how strategic investors shape LLM application landscapes through platform control and partnership networks.

2. Case study: "Dark side of the moon"

2.1. Technological differentiation and market positioning

Founded in 2022 by Tsinghua-MIT researchers and former Google engineers, "Dark Side of the Moon" achieved technical differentiation through an adaptive transformer architecture that reduced inference costs by 40%, a cross-modal fusion engine integrating text, code, and biomedical data streams, and regulatory-first design principles compliant with China's data localization mandates. Market penetration strategies combined enterprise SaaS solutions for financial risk modeling (adopted by Ping An Insurance), an open-source toolkit attracting 250,000+ developers by Q3 2024, and government partnerships co-developing judicial AI systems with the Shanghai High Court.

2.2. Capital inflection points

The company's financing trajectory reflects sector-wide paradigm shifts. During its VC-driven scaling phase (2022–2023), a $5 million seed investment from GSR Ventures enabled critical GPU cluster acquisitions, driving valuation from $30 million to $180 million within 18 months through rapid product iteration and developer community growth. The 2024 strategic realignment phase, marked by Alibaba's $200 million Series B investment, introduced stringent conditions including exclusive integration with Alibaba Cloud's PAI platform, 30% R&D resource allocation to e-commerce NLP applications, and board-level oversight. These demands precipitated operational tensions, including the reassignment of 40% of engineering teams to Alibaba-prioritized projects and a decline in open-source community growth from 15% to 5% month-over-year.

3. Comparative analysis of investment paradigms

3.1. Venture capital: Acceleration logic

GSR Ventures' engagement epitomizes the Silicon Valley model adapted to China's LLM context. Risk mitigation mechanisms included 2x liquidation preferences and anti-dilution clauses, while value-add strategies leveraged portfolio synergies to secure enterprise pilots and international conference exposure. Exit imperatives manifested in aggressive IPO timelines and acquisition talks with Tencent Cloud, prioritizing liquidity over technical maturation.

3.2. Strategic investment: Ecosystem capture

Alibaba's TECH-COM (Technology-Commerce Convergence) strategy focused on ecosystem integration, embedding LLM capabilities across eight core products including Taobao Search and Cainiao Logistics, achieving 28% cost reductions in customer service automation. Control mechanisms enforced dependency through proprietary machine learning frameworks and partnership restrictions, while long-term horizons prioritized seven-year ROI expectations and eventual full acquisition upon reaching $1 billion revenue thresholds.

4. Conflict dynamics and governance challenges

The case reveals fundamental tensions in LLM venture governance. Temporal dissonance emerged as VCs' 3–5 year exit horizons clashed with strategic investors' 7–10 year ecosystem-building timelines, creating conflicting KPIs around user growth versus platform integration metrics. Technological sovereignty disputes arose when Alibaba mandated migration from the startup's adaptive transformer architecture to its proprietary XuanTie framework, compromising innovation autonomy. Data governance conflicts intensified as strategic investors demanded training data sharing, contravening the startup's regulatory-first design principles.

These tensions underscore the need for hybrid governance models balancing investor protections with entrepreneurial flexibility. Proposed solutions include dynamic equity structures adjusting ownership based on milestone achievements, third-party technical audits to preserve architectural integrity, and regulatory sandboxes enabling compliant data sharing. The case further highlights the critical role of government policies in standardizing investment contracts and establishing neutral arbitration mechanisms for AI ventures.

5. Conclusion

The "Dark Side of the Moon" case illuminates the transformative yet contentious role of capital in shaping China's LLM ecosystem. While venture capital accelerates technological commercialization and strategic investment enables ecosystem scaling, their divergent priorities create governance challenges requiring innovative solutions. Future research should explore longitudinal studies of LLM venture lifecycles and comparative analyses across geopolitical AI ecosystems. Practitioners must develop adaptive frameworks that reconcile financial imperatives with technological sovereignty and regulatory compliance, ensuring sustainable innovation in this capital-driven AI revolution.

About the author

Liang Nan (2002.12 -), male, Han nationality, from Nanyang, Henan, is currently pursuing a Bachelor's degree in Management at Communication University of China

References

[1] Yin Peiqiu, Yang Xiuzhen. The Profit and Non-Profit Debate of Artificial Intelligence: A Process Tracking of the OpenAI Case[J]. Contemporary World and Socialism, 2024, 06:118-119.

[2] Kou Jiali. The Boom of Investment and Financing in the Field of Artificial Intelligence: Over 82 Billion Yuan Raised This Year[M]. Beijing: Economic Daily Press Group, 2024:1.

[3] Chen Junjun. Global Financial Technology Center Development Index: Shanghai Ranks Third, AI Becomes Global Investment Focus[M]. Guangzhou: Guangzhou Daily Press Group, 2024:2.

[4] Guo Huiting, Zhang Jing, Chen Haoqian. The Impact of Venture Capital Participation on Corporate M&A Decisions: Based on the Perspective of Share Lock-up Period[J]. Financial Research, 2024, (05): 84-98.

[5] Song He, Chang Wei. The Impact and Mechanism of Venture Capital on Corporate M&A Decisions[J]. Business Research, 2020, (02): 9-20.

[6] Cai Ning, He Xing. Can Social Networks Promote the "Value-Adding" Effect of Venture Capital?—A Study Based on Venture Capital Networks and Investment Efficiency of Listed Companies[J]. Journal of

Financial Research, 2015, (12): 178-193.

[7] Li Mingyu. Analysis of Key Success Factors in Corporate Diversified Investment Strategies[J]. Modern Business, 2025, (01): 109-111.

[8] Wang Weidong, Zhong Shubo. Research on Investment Strategies of Commercial Trade Group Enterprises[J]. Modern Business, 2024, (23): 173-176.

[9] Tian Xiang. The Role of Venture Capital Syndication in Value Creation for Entrepreneurial Firms[J]. Review of Finance, 2012, 16(1): 245-283.